

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/723,470	Applicant(s) RICHARDS ET AL.	
	Examiner MARY STEELMAN	Art Unit 2191	

All Participants:

(1) MARY STEELMAN.

(2) Mark D. Simpson, Reg. No. 32,942.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Matthew B. Trevathan, Applicant.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 August 2007

Time: 2:00PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed invention. Discussed amending independent claims to clarify invention.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

MARY STEELMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)